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Abstract

In this paper, we present a way to bring information to students by leveraging the availability of phones (with SMS capability), especially cell phones. We review the use of mobile phones for delivering examination results via Short Messaging Service (SMS) in a university, where students who have written examinations and anxious to see their results need to get their grades in a convenient and accessible way, whether in the comfort of their homes, on the road or while at work.

Mobile phone seems to be an asset most individuals’ posses, and take almost everywhere with them, it is therefore a highly effective means of bringing information to them faster, easily and on the move. An SMS result checking system not only enables students to request for their grades, the system can also deliver the grades to their phones as soon as the grades become available. This means that they can access their grades even in the remotest locations where internet facility might be unavailable, preventing them from accessing the school’s website.

This study shows the implementation of such system and considers the security issues associated. The system enforces security with the use of secret information (password), and also provides access to new and old grades.
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Introduction

Computers with the power of the internet have thrived in aiding communication among people. The telephone system, which is the main communication system that was invented several years ago, had undergone a great improvement, so much that today we have fixed wireless phones, mobile phones and the likes. With the rapid development of mobile phones come several services like the Short Messaging Service (SMS), Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS) and others, which are readily available and add to the usefulness of mobile phones. SMS in particular is widely used in communication, and more recently has been leveraged to provide several services like airline ticketing, banking services, commercial services like share and sell (an added service provided by MTN Nigeria), where subscribers can easily share and/or sell airtime, and several others. SMS is a mobile technology that allows for sending and receiving text or even binary messages to and from a mobile phone.
The relative ease of use of SMS makes it possible for a user to learn how to send SMS easily. Schofield and Kubin (2002) argued that small devices could potentially provide better interface for finding information than through page browsing and other means. More than 160 billion SMS are exchanged each month in European countries (Mavrikis, 2004), and according to Resource Shelf (2006), 48.7 billion SMS messages were sent in the second half of 2005, which is up 50% on the six months before that. Nowadays, with 45 million short messages sent in the U.K. alone every day, a mobile phone that has the easiest interface for voice communication is likely to fail the user satisfaction test if it does not provide a reasonably good SMS interface. (Gorienko & Merrick, 2003)

The major advantage of SMS is its cost effectiveness, and availability, as most individuals own phone.

In different parts of the world, several service providers offer mobile services which include SMS. Most times the cost attached to sending a SMS is relatively small, and most providers do not charge when receiving SMS. Sometimes, service providers give users certain amount of free SMS per month, which allows customers send and receive unlimited number of SMS messages. It is possible to acquire a special dedicated line that uses a custom rate for messages sent to the number; it is also possible to have a number as toll free, making it free for users to send SMS to the number. All these contribute to what makes SMS a really cost effective means of disseminating information.

Till today, especially in the developing countries, there still exists the problem of checking examination results as students still throng notice boards in anxiety. Although most universities, even those in the less developed countries now make examination results available on their websites. The level of internet availability in less developed countries is still low and quite expensive. Even in the developed countries where most homes have internet access, SMS is still a faster and cheaper means of dissemination examination results as well as other information. Pramsane and Sanjaya (2006) stated that universities can provide educational services based on SMS such as grade release, enrollment information, university announcement and internship opportunity. An advantage SMS offers is that students can receive valuable information on the fly without requesting for it.

The result checking system not only allows student to request for grades, it provides the result as soon as they as become available. This is done by pushing the result to the students (sending it to their phones), or working on a request sent from a student to produce the result (pulling). There are two methods of SMS widely used in applications; they are the PUSH & PULL. This application can either be used to push or pull messages.

A Push SMS application is one whereby a message is been sent from the application to the user. It is a one way message. In other words, it is the mobile application (in this case, the SMS result checking application) that initiates a message. An example could be a school that automatically sends examination results to the students and their parents/sponsors as soon as the grades become available. The users do not request for the grades, do not take any action and are not charged for receiving the SMS. The SMS would be delivered to them in a matter of seconds regardless of where they are, as long as their mobile phone is within their network operator’s coverage.

A Pull SMS application on the other hand is one whereby a user sends a request and obtains a reply from the application. This is a full duplex scenario. An example is when a student requests for his/her grades for a recently concluded semester. SMS applications can be built as two kinds of services.

**Independent Service** - This involves using solely a mobile phone and the application server (the system running the SMS application). This option offers limited benefit, but it is easy and fast to
setup. It does not require authorization of the service provider or connection to any third party SMS provider. The mobile phone uses a regular SIM card which has a normal phone number, and messages that originate from the phone attracts the standard cost or tariff.

**Dependent Service** – This involves having the application server connect to the service providers SMS Center (SMSC). It requires a constant connection to the internet as the application server does not require any physical phone/modem with a SIM card connected to it, rather it connects to a SMSC. When users send their request, it goes to the SMSC, which automatically forwards the message to the application server over the internet. This option provides added benefits, as they service provider can provide a special tariff and a dedicated line for the university. Here, the SMS application usually runs on corporate servers that are connected to the SMS network through specialized connectors and gateways connected to the SMS Center (SMSC) of mobile operators (Mavrakis, 2004). These servers are assigned short numbers instead of the traditional 10 or 11 digits mobile numbers. These numbers, also known as short codes are usually 4 to 6 digits long and are operator specific. Also, a premium fee (a fee other than the fixed rates for SMS) can be charged on these short codes; in other words, users would pay more for sending SMS to short codes.

SMS uses the GSM special signalling channel instead of the voice channel and is therefore a very reliable media channel. Mavrakis (2004) identifies two types of SMS which can be classified by the origin of the message:

- **Mobile Originated (MO)**: SMS-MO is sent from a mobile phone and could be sent either to another mobile phone (such as when a mobile subscriber sends a personal message to another subscriber) or to a computer application that will process the message.

- **Mobile Terminated (MT)**: SMS-MT is transmitted to a mobile phone. It also could be sent by another mobile phone or generated by a computer application (Adagunodo, Awodele, & Ajayi, 2007).

**Existing System Overview**

Most universities now provide examination results on their website and this is a very common practice. When results are made available on the website, students have to login by providing a username or ID and password before they can view their result. This ensures that examination results are protected from unauthorized access. Although websites are readily accessible and provide options like printing and saving, these benefits could easily be inaccessible if a user does not have access to the internet. Also, the student must visit the website to know that the examination results are available.

While websites seem to be a very good option in countries where internet is readily available, its impact can be less felt and it can be quite inconvenient and expensive in countries with poor internet access. In such places, students will have to visit cyber cafes where they have to pay to check their grades.

Apart from using websites to provide students with their examination results, other means include IVRS (Interactive Voice Response System), and email.

**IVRS**

Interactive Voice Response System is a self guided result checking system. In this system, a student dials a number and listens to computer generated or recorded voice direction. The voice instructs the student on what action (usually by pressing keys) to take to supply the needed information. The supplied information is then used to generate the student’s result, which is spoken to the user.
Email
While email seems to be another intuitive means of sending results and other information to students, even without them requesting for it. It requires internet connection, and although several mobile phones allow accessing of emails on them, it is seldom used by mobile phone users (at least students). Email allows offers the benefit of mass distribution of information, which is also possible using SMS as Bulk SMS.

Evaluation of Similar Systems

NTU eXpress SMS
NeXS (NTU eXpress SMS) is used at the Nanyang Technological University. To use NeXS the user needs to be an undergraduate student of NTU and needs to register his/her mobile phone on the NeXS Portal. NeXS accepts numbers from three mobile providers (Singtel/Starhub/M1). Once a mobile phone is registered, the student can use the phone to send SMS and access information. However, students can only use the mobile number that was registered.

To get exam results via NeXS, users send the keyword NTU RESULT to 74000. If the exam results of the current semester are released, NeXS will reply with the reply (Ling, 2005).

Strength of NeXS
The primary strength of NeXS is that it offers a form of security by ensuring that only the registered mobile phone can request for the result. NeXS also provides other SMS services like examination seating arrangements, subject timetable, library account information, NTU staff directory search and more.

Weakness of NeXS
The fact that NeXS allows students to register their mobile phone number and limits access to the number is quite a disadvantage than an advantage. This is because it reduces the flexibility of the system and also poses a threat of identifying whether it is the real owner of the phone that is requesting for the result. For example, a student can take another student’s phone and request for the student’s result. NeXS cannot tell that the request wasn’t made from the real owner of the phone. Also, if the register phone is stolen or lost, the student would have to visit the website to register a new phone. NeXS also provides examination result for the recently concluded semester.

SMS Result Checking of Board of Secondary Education, Orissa
The school makes the HSC exam result available via SMS. The examination result is requested by sending the SMS Code: HSCR RollNo (for regular/regular Correspondence Courses) and HSCX Roll-No (for Ex-regular/Ex-regular Correspondence Courses) to 56505 (Desi, 2008).

Weakness of the System
The system allows request only from BSNL Mobile., and does not provide any form of security. Student can easily request of others result by simply specifying their roll number.

MUET SMS Result Checking
The checking of MUET Result via SMS is also another example of SMS result checking. This system receives SMS in the format MUET <space> IC Number, sent to 39003. The service charges 15 cents for each message sent and 30 cents for each received.
Weakness of the System

The system does not offer any form of security. A student with the knowledge of another student’s IC number can request for that student’s result. It is also expensive on the side of the users. In summary, none of these systems provide any practical form of security and are therefore susceptible to several security issues. Also, they only provide the current examination results and this prevents users from requesting for older results.

Proposed System

The system uses the short messaging service (SMS), which leverages the readily available infrastructure provided by GSM operators to provide a means of cheap and fast communication between the students and the university. Although a few SMS result checking system already implement the use of password, such system was proposed by Pramsane and Sanjaya (2006). This system implements the same form of security, and further provides access to new and old results. It also provides two options of requesting for examination result. The SMS result checking system works using client-server architecture and can be either implemented as a dependent service or independent service. The system described here is deployed as a dependent service; this implies that the server (with the SMS application) has a phone with a standard SIM card connected to it.

The SMS server receives SMS messages from the users and processes the message by connecting to the database that holds the details and grades. The SMS server receives all SMS via the GSM terminal connected to the computer, it then connects to the database to authenticate the user and query for the results via the appropriate database connector like Open Database Connectivity (ODBC).

To request a result, the user sends his registration number or matriculation number, along with a password (for security and privacy) to the designated number. Students can include specific details like the level (year) and semester for which they want to check their result. They can also request for a full (with the breakdown) or a brief reply (just the GPA). The application queries the database and filters out the user’s result appropriately after which it sends the examination result back to the user through the GSM terminal as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Functioning of a SMS Result Checking System
The flow of the systems starts when a student sends an SMS (in the prescribed format) to the given number. The system then uses the content of the SMS to process the student’s request, after which the student’s result is sent back to the student via the same number.

The features of this system are:

- It will receive SMS from all kinds of phones from all networks.
- It can function 24 hrs a day, 7 days a week.
- Users are notified when they make an invalid request.
- It is relatively easy to customize.
- It uses password to provide security.
- It provides more flexibility, by allowing many result checking options.

**Security and Error Handling**

Like other means employed by institutions to disseminate examination results and other similar information, which includes website, email and IVRS. There exists the possibility of errors and security issues. While most universities make results available on their websites, students have to at least securely login to the website using their ID and a password. Apart from the possibility of a student knowing the ID and password of another student, the possibility of a guess can occur. Although, most websites offer a secure form of authentication like HTTPS, there is really no means of telling that the person that supplied the ID and password on the site is the actual student. Literature has proven that there have been more attacks on the web than SMS. All these implies that the website, though convenient to use, do not offer a totally secured solution for result checking.

Similarly, using emails or IVRS, there is no means of confirming that the person initiating a phone call on an IVRS system is the real student. And even in cases where the real student is the user of the system, a mistyped username and password would deny a student access to the site, and a student who mistakenly sends wrong information via email or an IVRS can obtain the false result which could have consequences. An example of such event occurred in India, when a student having probably sent a wrong detail, got a false result and committed suicide (Textually.org, 2004).

While each of these means has its own strength and weakness, it can be argueable said that no one is necessarily better than others. And as a matter of fact, several institutions use all these means together.

SMS on its own has several limitations which includes the limited number of characters allowed, and unavailability of features like printing, tables, images etc. It however has almost the same security threat as the other means, and considering the fact that same way a hacker can tap into a text message, the hacker can also hack into a school’s website and pull results or at worse manipulate the database, which is more disastrous.

This system offers a reasonable level of security which most similar systems do not provide. As discussed earlier, most SMS result checking systems either have student register their mobile phone number or have them send a text containing their identification number with no form of verification.

This system uses a password, with a default password assigned initially that they are expected to change on the school’s website or by sending a SMS to the school. Specifying a password in the SMS ensures that if a cell phone gets stolen or get into the wrong hands, the student’s result cannot be accessed unless the password is specified. This works in a similar way to the website, and
neither of them has a way to prove that the request originates from the real user. Sharing or care-
less exposure of passwords will breach both systems; therefore education of users is paramount.

Error handling is an essential part of good system, as errors are bound to occur especially in situ-
tion requiring user input. Users can misspell words, mismatch format or even send the message to a wrong number. A feedback mechanism is therefore essential to inform users of their errors and provide suggestion of what went wrong. This feature is often missing in most SMS result check-
ing system; it is overlooked probably because the cost associated to sending SMS is low or even free. However, to ensure that users find the system friendly, error handling and notification is im-
portant. This system checks for possible errors in a received SMS and sends an error notification to the users. Possible errors are a wrong id and password combination, a wrong SMS format etc.

**Implementation**

To use this system as an independent SMS service, the university must provide the necessary hardware and software requirement, which primarily are:

- A mobile phone with modem that supports communication with a computer, and a sup-
  ported SIM card.
- A computer system to host the SMS application.
- A network connection to the database server.
- A cable or other connection means to connect the phone to the computer.

**System Verification**

As an example of the operation of this SMS result checking system, the mode of operation is il-
illustrated using a particular 3rd year student by the name “Bunmi David” with matriculation num-
ber “05/0777”.

Bunmi David sends an SMS message with a password in a prescribed format to the designated GSM number. The password is assigned to students, and can be changed by sending an SMS with the old password followed by the desired new password. It is also possible to let students request for a password change on the school’s website.

The SMS result checking provides primarily two SMS formats. The first format requests for the detailed examination result, while the second format requests for the grade point average (GPA) only. As an advantage of this system over others, it allows students to check for results of past semesters, unlike most systems that only offer the current semester result. In this case, the student can specify which year and semester for which they want to access their grades. It is also possible to accept request for a particular course. The formats are illustrated as follows (See also Figure 2):

**SMS Format 1**

*MatricNumber, Password, Level, Semester, all*
e.g 05/0777, pass, 300, 2, all

Semester: 1 – represents 1st semester
2 - represents 2nd semester
Append all to the message, instructs the server to reply with the full result details of all the courses including the score, grade and also the GPA (Grade Point Average) for the semester.

The reply is shown in Figure 3.

If the student decides to check the GPA only, the SMS to be sent takes another format.

**SMS Format 2**

MatricNumber, Password, Level, Semester

e.g 05/0777, pass, 300, 2

A SMS in this format (Figure 4) instructs the server to reply with the student’s GPA only for the semester, without further details of the result.

The reply is show in Figure 5.
To illustrate the password security, and error notification, a message is sent to the server with a wrong password. The system detects such error and notifies the user.

For example, if the student sends a SMS with the content

\texttt{05/0777, password, 300, 2, all}

\textit{INSTEAD OF}

\texttt{04/00777, pass, 300, 2, all}

The server replies with an error message, as shown in Figure 6.

Message validation ensures that the received SMS complies with the specified format and that the matric-number (ID) and password match, if it does not, the student is notified.

\textbf{Advantages of SMS Results Checking}

The advantages of SMS results are the same with that of any other SMS application.

- \textit{Convenience} – Users get their results at their own convenience.

- \textit{Accessibility} – Users can access their results from any location (as long as
they are within a network service reception area).

- **Portability** – Results can be received and checked from any GSM phone. All GSM phones support SMS.
- **Saves time** – SMS is on the fly. It reduces the throughput of result checking as websites are sometimes unavailable due to congestion, or server down time. SMS provides a faster means of sending and receiving such information.
- **Cheaper** – SMS is generally economical, and it sometimes provided as a free service (at least for certain periods) by the service provider. Most providers also do not charge when users receive SMS.
- **Less human resources required** – Results are processed automatically
- **Mobility** – It is obvious that most mobile phone users have their phones with them everywhere they go, most people often leave their computer as home, or work sometimes, but they will always have their phone on them.

**Limitations of SMS Results Checking**
The limitations of SMS results are the same with that SMS Applications in general.

- Unlike paper results which are stamped, it is difficult to certify SMS results. However, mobile operators provide numbers that bear a name of company or institution. This way user can be sure that the message originated from the right source.
- As a rule, length of a SMS message is 160 characters. This is a limitation in SMS technology. Therefore the messages are abbreviated depending on the availability of the space.
- The SMS technology (like email) does not guarantee set transmission times or guaranteed delivery of the message, therefore some messages may be delayed, blocked or lost in transmission.
- The cost of the message might be transferred to the sender (although toll-free lines can be acquired) and this is network dependent. It is also possible to reimburse such cost, and to operate volume based tariffs.
- Service operators might not have coverage in some areas, and some locations may be prevented with mobile-phone jammers therefore preventing users from getting service signals.
- Not all students will have a mobile phone. This is negligible considering the amount of mobile phone owners; at least one person in a family will own a phone.

**Further Work**
The SMS User Interface Result Checking System can be fully integrated into existing information systems like the school’s web system, such that the application leverages existing hardware systems and components like databases etc. The system can also be adapted as means of dissemination short information quickly, and performing activities like evaluation.

Additional study is also done to consider how the system can be further secured, and further research work is carried out on SMS and its application. One of such is the use of SMS as a communication tool between computers where a computer network connection is not feasible either with cables or wireless.
Conclusion

SMS result checking is an innovative approach to examination result checking and it should be widely accepted by universities in addition to existing means like website, email and IVRS.

The number of mobile phone users is daily on the increase, and mobile phones complete our clothing today. The fact that fixed wireless phones, land line phones etc all have the capability to send and receive SMS also makes it a viable option.

Finally, most parents, guardians or sponsors who are not computer literate and not familiar with the use of the internet, will be probably comfortable with sending and receiving SMS. This way, they can request for the results or receive them directly from the school. Also, adopting the PUSH approach with SMS provides an advantage over email because it is immediate. Students would receive the grades almost instantly, unlike emails where they wouldn’t until they check their emails.
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